Subm	ission	No:	090
------	--------	-----	-----

## Greater Christchurch Partnership Our Space 2018-2048 - Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update

-Received via online submission form-

Submitter details	
First name	Wayne
Last name	Phillips
Email	
Street name	
and number	
Suburb	Christchurch
Town/City	Christchurch
Postcode	
I am completing	For myself
this submission	
Hearings	
Do you wish to	I do not wish to speak at the hearings
speak to the	
hearings panel?	
Phone number	
Preferred	
location to be	
heard	
Questions	
	pace highlights there is significant capacity for new housing through redevelopment in
	but to accommodate housing growth in Selwyn and Waimakariri it identifies additional
greenfield land ar	ound Rolleston, Rangiora and Kaiapoi. Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why?
Agree/disagree	Somewhat disagree
Comment	The proposals show large areas of greenfields development in Rangiora and Rolleston that will lock in auto dependence for the lifetime of this plan. This is not a sustainable approach and the
	plan needs to address this
Question 2: Our S	pace adopts the current planning framework that encourages a range of new housing types,
especially in the c	entral city, close to suburban centres within the City and around existing towns in Selwyn and
Waimakariri. Do y	you agree or disagree with this approach and why?
Agree/disagree	Somewhat agree
Comment	It is essential that planning takes place now to enable future residents of these towns to have options for transport other than private vehicles (autonomous vehicles are wishful thinking)
Question 3: Our S	pace proposes to develop an action plan to increase the supply of social and affordable housing
across Greater Ch	ristchurch and investigate with housing providers different models to make it easier for people
to own their own	home. What elements should be included in this action plan?
Comment	Key worker housing for staff such as nurses, police officers, teachers should be encouraged
Question 4: Our S	pace adopts the current planning framework that directs new commercial development (office
and retail) to exist	ting centres to retain their viability and vitality, especially the central city, suburban centres and
town centres in Se	elwyn and Waimakariri. Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why? What further
measures would s	support such development?
Agree/disagree	Agree
Comment	Accessible cities with reliable, sustainable links between them. This probably means dedicated
	rights of way
Question 5: The C	anterbury Regional Policy Statement and the District Plans for Christchurch City and Selwyn and
	icts have already identified sufficient capacity for new industrial businesses. Do you agree or
disagree this is su	fficient and in the right location and why?
Agree/disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
Comment	No comment

Question 6: The proposals in Our Space are informed by a Capacity Assessment that considers future demands for housing and business land, based on demographic changes and projections from Statistics New Zealand, and likely changes in our economy (including through business sector trends and impacts from technological change). *Do you agree or disagree with our evidence base and why?* 

c changes post earthquake (shift from city to towns) was a shock, and these rates sh. Basing projections on these high rates continuing for the next ~30 years is nd potentially self-serving by the selwyn/waimak councils s greater densities around key centres to increase accessibility to employment and public transport. proposals that signal more high frequency bus routes and an intention to deliver and south-west transport corridors. <i>Do you agree or disagree with this approach</i> he principle here, I disagree with the approach. This needs to be a priority, of d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable, mities. <i>Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why? What more could be</i>
<ul> <li>Basing projections on these high rates continuing for the next ~30 years is and potentially self-serving by the selwyn/waimak councils</li> <li>s greater densities around key centres to increase accessibility to employment and public transport.</li> <li>proposals that signal more high frequency bus routes and an intention to deliver and south-west transport corridors. <i>Do you agree or disagree with this approach</i></li> <li>he principle here, I disagree with the approach. This needs to be a priority, of d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes</li> <li>d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value</li> <li>th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,</li> </ul>
public transport. proposals that signal more high frequency bus routes and an intention to deliver and south-west transport corridors. <i>Do you agree or disagree with this approach</i> he principle here, I disagree with the approach. This needs to be a priority, of d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
proposals that signal more high frequency bus routes and an intention to deliver and south-west transport corridors. <i>Do you agree or disagree with this approach</i> he principle here, I disagree with the approach. This needs to be a priority, of d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
and south-west transport corridors. <i>Do you agree or disagree with this approach</i> he principle here, I disagree with the approach. This needs to be a priority, of d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
he principle here, I disagree with the approach. This needs to be a priority, of d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
d, ensuring rights of way are identified now and kept clear for when these routes d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
d a way to fund this development, whether it's regional fuel taxes, congestion targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
targeted rate to capture the increases in land value th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, inications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
th broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, unications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
inications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable,
nities. Do you garge or disgarge with this approach and why? What more could be
planning?
e nor disagree
•
lo you wish to make to inform the final Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch
o you wish to make to morn the mar our space 2010-2048. Greater christenurch
some good ideas and appears to have taken note of the need for coordinated ween the various councils. On the other hand, there are terrible decisions being day (one example is allowing Briscoes to build its own complex down the road from with no walking link locking in need to drive).
to achieve the goals of this report, being are going to need to be upset, and to be a, and have the various mayors/councillors defend their decisions