Greater Christchurch Partnership Our Space 2018-2048 - Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update

-Received via online submission form-

Submitter details		
First name	Randal	
Last name	Inch	
Email		
Street name		
and number		
Suburb		
Town/City	Rangiora	
Postcode		
I am completing	For myself	
this submission		
Hearings		
Do you wish to	I do not wish to speak at the hearings	
speak to the		
hearings panel?		
Phone number		
Preferred		
location to be		
heard		
Questions		
Question 1: Our S	pace highlights there is significant capacity for new housing through redevelopment in	
Christchurch City	but to accommodate housing growth in Selwyn and Waimakariri it identifies additional	
greenfield land ar	ound Rolleston, Rangiora and Kaiapoi. Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why?	
Agree/disagree	Agree.	
Comment	I believe that further Greenfield land is required to the EAST of Rangiora to provide sufficient	
	capacity for housing growth and to balance the Rangiora township. I support and encourage the	
	fast tracking of changes to the Regional Policy Statement so the Waimakariri District Council	
	(WDC) can consider this land for rezoning and thus provide the Council with sufficient land for	
	housing and infrastructure development, which can be released and completed in a timely	
	manner.	
	pace adopts the current planning framework that encourages a range of new housing types,	
• •	entral city, close to suburban centres within the City and around existing towns in Selwyn and	
Waimakariri. Do y	ou agree or disagree with this approach and why?	
Agree/disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	
Comment		
Question 3: Our S	pace proposes to develop an action plan to increase the supply of social and affordable housing	
	ristchurch and investigate with housing providers different models to make it easier for people	
to own their own	home. What elements should be included in this action plan?	
Comment	No comment	
Question 4: Our S	pace adopts the current planning framework that directs new commercial development (office	
and retail) to exist	ting centres to retain their viability and vitality, especially the central city, suburban centres and	
	elwyn and Waimakariri. Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why? What further	
measures would support such development?		
Agree/disagree		
Comment	No Comment	
Question 5: The Canterbury Regional Policy Statement and the District Plans for Christchurch City and Selwyn and		
Waimakariri Districts have already identified sufficient capacity for new industrial businesses. Do you agree or		
disagree this is sufficient and in the right location and why?		
Agree/disagree		
Comment	No comment	

Question 6: The proposals in Our Space are informed by a Capacity Assessment that considers future demands for	
housing and business land, based on demographic changes and projections from Statistics New Zealand, and likely	
changes in our economy (including through business sector trends and impacts from technological change). Do you	
agree or disagree with our evidence base and why?	

Agree/disagree	Neither agree nor disagree
Comment	I believe, a better basis to assess demand on, is to reflect upon the significant growth that
	Rangiora has experienced since the Christchurch Earthquakes - which demonstrates high
	demand.

Question 7: Our Space promotes greater densities around key centres to increase accessibility to employment and services by walking, cycling and public transport.

This aligns with recent transport proposals that signal more high frequency bus routes and an intention to deliver rapid transit along the northern and south-west transport corridors. *Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why?*

Agree/disagree Comment No comment

Question 8: Our Space aligns with broader infrastructure planning (including wastewater, water supply, stormwater, energy, telecommunications, community facilities, schools and healthcare) to help create sustainable, cohesive and connected communities. *Do you agree or disagree with this approach and why? What more could be done to integrate infrastructure planning?*

Agree/disagree	Agree	
Comment	I strongly support the identification of further Greenfield areas which can easily be serviced	
	with infrastructure. The areas that have been identified in Rangiora fall within the projected	
	infrastructure boundary in the Regional Policy Statement. It is my understanding that this area	
	has been flagged for growth since the initial proposal to establish the urban limit through	
	Proposed Plan Change 1 in 2007. Removing the current constraints on development of the	
	identified areas offers the most resourceful way for Council to meet its development capacity	
	obligations.	
Question 9: What other points do you wish to make to inform the final Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch		
Settlement Patter	rn Update?	
Comment	I support the areas selected for inclusion in the RPS as 'Future Development Areas' and support	
	the fast tracking of any amendment necessary to that document to remove current constraints	
	on developing those sights. I would encourage the Panel of members of the Greater	
	Christchurch Partnership to consider the proposal to defer decisions on 'when future	
	development areas' may be developed at the District Plan Review level carefully. I believe to	
	defer these decisions to the District Plan Stage risks adding significant delays to the release of	
	land, and thus may impact on the Councils abilities to meet their obligations under the National	
	Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity. I believe greater certainty for landowners	
	and the community should be given careful consideration.	

Attachments